Environmental controls on temporal and spatial patterns in pteropod abundance along the Western Antarctic Peninsula





Patricia S. Thibodeau, Deborah K. Steinberg, Claudine Hauri, & Hugh W. Ducklow



USA

Warming in the Western Antarctic Peninsula



Year

Sharon Stammerjohn

Average winter (June-Aug.) temperature +1.1°C per decade: 7°C since 1950: 5x global average





Process Study Sites Hydrographic Moorings moored sediment trap

Adélie Penguin Colonies

SLOCUM Glider Base

Macrozooplankton collection



Photos: A. McDonnell, L. Madin, M. Gleiber, R. Hopcroft, and J. Stone



Long-term distribution climatology





Abundance average 1993-2016

Pteropod abundance: north – south gradient



Pteropod abundance: Coastal – shelf – slope gradient





L. helicina vs. gymnosome abundance





(predator)

Environmental controls of pteropod abundance

0.2

0.3



Increase of Upper Circumpolar Deepwater (UCDW) on WAP shelf





- Characterized by warm temperatures (Tmax > 1.6°C) and high nutrient, CO₂ levels
- 150m below the surface of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC)
- Floods onto the continental shelf at Marguerite Trough roughly four times each month (Martinson and McKee 2012)

L. helicina abundance increase near UCDW



Ocean Acidification in the Southern Ocean





L. helicina collected from PAL LTER study

(Doney 2006, Bednarsek et al. 2014; Comeau et al. 2012; Lischka et al. 2011)

Aragonite saturation and L. helicina abundance

Aragonite saturation from 1993-2012 Q ٩Q. ര് 3.0 2.5 C ц<u>о</u> ς. A _____ Year Limacina helicina abundance from 1993-2012 Individuals $1000 \, \text{m}^{-3}$ 2002 2003 Year

More corrosive

Summary and Conclusions

- Evident offshore distribution of pteropods and indication of increasing abundance in south and slope regions overtime
 - Range shifts and hotspots \rightarrow future work
- Strong predator-prey dynamic between *L. helicina* and gymnosomes
- Weak MEI, low ice, high SST years favor pteropod abundance
- *L. helicina* may prefer warmer, ice free waters possibly due to timing and propagation of the spring bloom as ice melts through the season
- No clear relationship between *L. helicina* abundance and distribution with carbonate parameters
 - Time-series mismatch \rightarrow future work

Acknowledgements

Zooplankton Ecology Lab - Past, Present and Future Front - Tricia Thibodeau, Miram Gleiber, Deborah Steinberg, Jeanna Hudson & Violet Elaise, Jami Ivory Back - Brandon Couroy, Jason Landrum, Joshna Stone, Joe Cope



Zooplankton ecology lab



PAL LTER scientists and collaborators





Funding sources: US National Science Foundation, VIMS ICES/PICES Zooplankton Symposium travel fellowship

Evidence of aragonite (Ω) undersaturation



Hauri et al. 2015, Biogeosciences Discussion

Anomaly Calculation

For each pteropod group and year in the time series, the abundance anomaly was calculated using this formula:

$$A'_y = \log_{10}[\overline{A}_y/\overline{A}]$$

 \overline{A}_{y} is the mean abundance of year y,\overline{A} Type equation here.and is the mean of the yearly means. (O'Brien et al. 2008, ICES zooplankton status report 2006/2007)

*Stepwise linear regression models, with data in annual anomaly form for the full grid, were used to assess the relative importance of the environmental and climate parameters (sea ice, SAM/MEI, primary productivity, biomass, SST)

Macrozooplankton collection



R/V Laurence M. Gould

Sort and count on ship



2 m² frame, 700 µm mesh upper 120 m



Long-term trends in abundance



Limacina helicina 1.10 0.75 Abundance Anomaly 0.00 -0.75 -1.17 $\begin{array}{c} 1993\\ 1995\\ 1995\\ 1996\\ 1997\\ 1999\\ 2000\\ 2001\\ 2002\\$ Year Steinberg et al. 2015, DSR I, updated



p = 0.002